The Swedish species of *Leiophron* Nees are reviewed. The species *Leiophron reclinator* (Ruthe, 1856), *L. fulvipes* (Curtis, 1833) and *L. duploclaviventris* (Shenefelt, 1969) are reported for the first time from Sweden. *Leiophron similis* (Curtis, 1833) is introduced as a new synonym of *L. basalis* (Curtis, 1833). A key and diagnoses for the identification of the Swedish species are also provided. There are currently nine species known in Sweden, most parasitize on Miridae (Hemiptera) and Psocidae (Psocoptera).

The subfamily Euphorinae Förster, 1862 (in Braconidae), is very diverse distributionally, morphologically and biologically. The euphorine genera of the world were reviewed by Shaw (1985), and their biology and phylogeny was discussed later (Shaw 1988). The subfamily was recently divided up into 14 tribes and 52 genera (Stigenberg et al. 2015). In Sweden the tribe Euphorini only includes the genera *Leiophron* and *Peristenus*. The subgenera of *Leiophron* found in Sweden are *Leiophron*, *Euphorus* and *Euphoriana*. We have here chosen to lump rather than to split *Leiophron*, although preliminary unpublished molecular analyses seem to show that at least *Euphorus* is a valid genus. Fauna Europaea (de Jong 2013) lists 6 species of *Leiophron* in Sweden, whereas Taxapad (Yu et al 2012) lists only four species. The taxonomic database of Swedish species, lists 6 *Leiophron* species (Dyntaxa 2013). Examining hundreds of mounted specimens has increased both the collection at NHRS extensively (adding 380 mounted specimens), and the Swedish species list to include nine species.

The most general morphological character of Euphorinae is the often, but not always, bent wing vein 3RSb (SR1) (Fig. 1a). Otherwise the species vary greatly both in size, shape and colour. A good key to the different subfamilies of braconidae was done by van Achterberg (1993). A striking feature of the Euphorinae is the large variation of the shape of the first metasomal tergite (i.e. the petiole) and the ovipositor. This variation is probably the result of extreme requirements on speed, agility and precision during oviposition as they attack adult hosts that can run away or fight back. This have lead to a diversifying selection on female morphologies when combined with the different habits of the attacked
hosts. It is also easy to find correlations between female morphologies and attacked hosts. For instance, Muesebeck (1936) noted that the ovipositor of nymphal parasitoids (Leiophron and Aridelus) were very short (subexserted), while the ovipositor of coleoptera parasitoids were longer. The species Meteorus corax has one of the longest ovipositors within the subfamily and it parasitizes hidden coleopteran larvae, such as larvae of Scolytidae.

The Euphorinae are generally solitary parasitoids (one parasitoid larva per host) except for some gregarious species (several parasitoid larvae per host) of the genera Meteorus (Haldiday), Perilitus Nees, Microctonus (Wesmael) and Syntretus Förster (Loan 1967, Stigenberg & Ronquist 2011). They are koinobiont endoparasitoids (internal parasitoids that allow their host being active after oviposition), and predominantly attack adult insects. However, they also include lineages that parasitize larvae (Meteorini) (Stigenberg & Ronquist 2011) or nymphs (Euphorini) (Loan 1974). Most of the Euphorinae genera are parasitoids of Coleoptera, although other insect orders, including Hymenoptera, Lepidoptera, Orthoptera, Hemiptera, Psocoptera, and Neuroptera, act as hosts for some euphorines.

The genus Leiophron, and the very similar genus Peristenus, are easy to recognize from all other braconids by the small size, 2–5 mm in body length, and the very small marginal cell in the forewing (Fig. 1a). The females of Leiophron and Peristenus have a short petiole and a short and strongly curved ovipositor. They chase the host nymph, lift it up and insert the ovipositor ventrally in the abdomen of the host. Members of the genus Leiophron are parasitoids of nymphal Miridae, Lygaeidae (Hemiptera) and Psocoptera. One, rarely two eggs (New 1970) are laid in the early instar nymph and the mature parasitoid larva emerges from the nearly full grown nymph (sixth instar) or more rarely from the adult (New 1970, Loan 1980, 1983).
The parasitoid larvae exits the host toward the anterior of the abdomen, drops to the ground and actively move into a space where it spins a cocoon. They overwinter as adults in the cocoon on the ground and the adult emerges in Britain, in late spring (New 1970). From collection data we can conclude that there is only one generation per year.

Here we present the species of *Leiophron* currently found in Sweden.

**Material and methods**

This research is based on material from the Swedish Malaise Trap project (SMTP) (Karls-son et al. 2005) and from material collected by C. Hansson and B.W. Svensson and others at Limhamn limestone quarry but also at other localities in Skåne, the southernmost province in Sweden.

In total more than 380 specimens have been studied. We have morphologically identified all
the Swedish material. Some of the fresh material has also been used for molecular identification using DNA (gene markers COI and 28S). Additionally material from the Natural History Museum in London (BMNH), as well as the material housed in the Zoological Institute in St. Petersburg (ZIN) was studied. In the effort to determine the material to species four different keys were initially consulted (Loan 1974, Tobias et al. 2002, van Achterberg manuscript), with varying success. The key presented here is based upon these keys as well as our own conclusions about important characters, so hopefully it is a well functioning key for the Swedish species of Leiophron. Under the section diagnosis we have indicated in brackets the number of specimens found in Swedish provinces. The studied material is from NHRS and Lund Zoological Museum (ZMLU) (also indicated in brackets). Data on flight periods are collected from the label data of specimens. Morphological terminology follows Wharton et al. (1997). Images were taken using Canon EOS D50 with a MP-E 65 mm lens and for detail photos we used Dino-Lite AM423, a microscope eye-piece camera. The images were stacked using Zerene Stacker software. The scale bar equals 1 mm.

Nine species recorded from Sweden are presented with a key and species diagnoses. The new species records are indicated with an asterisk (*) in the diagnoses section.

**Distinguishing characters between Swedish Peristenus and Leiophron**

- Sides of first metasomal tergite (i.e. the petiole) fused or touching at base (Fig. 1b), usually the petiole is much wider apically than at the base, occipital carina complete dorsally (Fig. 1c) .............................................................. **Peristenus**
- Sides of first metasomal tergite (i.e. the petiole) not touching at all (Fig. 1c), usually the petiole is parallel sided or only slightly divergent apically, occipital carina not complete dorsally (Fig. 1d) .............................................................. **Leiophron**

**Key to Swedish species of Leiophron**

1. Body colour yellow (Fig. 2a), or at least with head yellow-brown (Fig. 2b) ......................... 2
   - Body colour brown or blackish brown (Fig. 2c) .................................................. 5

2. Wings infuscated (Fig. 3a) .............................................................. 3
   - Wings subhyaline (Fig. 3b) .............................................................. 4

---

**Figure 3. Fore wings of two species of Leiophron: – a) L. fascipennis, – b) L. oblitus.**


---

**Figure 4. Detail characters of L. apicalis. – a) the setate basal cell of fore wing, – b) ocellar area.**

Detaljkarakterer av L. apicalis. – a) den håriga basala cellen på framvingen, – b) området kring ocellerna.

---

**Figure 5. Detail characters of L. fascipennis: – a) the glabrous basal cell of fore wing, – b) ocellar area.**

Detaljkarakterer av L. fascipennis. – a) den hårlösa basala cellen på framvingen, – b) området kring ocellerna.
3. Forewing with basal cell setose (Fig. 4a), head dorsally behind ocelli not or very weakly striated (Fig. 4b), body colour light yellow (Fig. 2a).

- Forewing with basal cell glabrous (Fig. 5a), head dorsally behind ocelli striated (Fig. 5b), body colour dark yellow (Fig. 2d)..............\textit{L. fascipennis}

4. Wing veins reduced, fore wing veins (RS+M)a, 2RS, 1m-cu, 1CU etc. missing (Fig. 6a), scapus short (Fig. 6b) at most two times as long as broad.................................\textit{L. deficiens}

- Wing veins mentioned above present (Fig. 2i, 7a), scapus long (Fig. 7b) at least two times as long as broad........................................\textit{L. reclinator}

5. Notauli clearly indicated (Fig. 8a).........................\textbf{6}
- Notauli absent or rarely weakly indicated (Fig. 8b).................................................\textbf{8}

6. Face and clypeus in profile convex (Fig. 9a), clypeus wide, not truncate (Fig. 9b) postpectal carina distinctly developed (Fig. 9c)..............\textit{L. basalis}

- Face and clypeus in profile flat (Fig. 10a), clypeus wide and truncate (10b), postpectal carina not developed, though there might be rugose area (Fig. 10c)...........................................\textbf{7}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure6.png}
\caption{Figure 6. Characters of \textit{L. deficiens}: – a) fore wing, – b) face. Karaktärer på \textit{L. deficiens}: – a) framvinge, – b) ansikte.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure7.png}
\caption{Figure 7. Characters of \textit{L. reclinator}: – a) fore wing, – b) face. Karaktärer på \textit{L. reclinator}: – a) framvinge, – b) ansikte.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure8.png}
\caption{Figure 8. Mesoscutum showing area with or without notauli: – a) \textit{L. duploclaviventris}, – b) \textit{L. fulvipes}. Mesoscutum som visar området med eller utan notauli: – a) \textit{L. duploclaviventris}, – b) \textit{L. fulvipes}.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure9.png}
\caption{Figure 9. Characters of \textit{L. basalis}: – a) side-face, – b) face, – c) ventral mesoscutum showing the prepectal area. Karaktärer på \textit{L. basalis}. – a) ansiktet från sidan, – b) ansikte, – c) undersida, mesoscutum med det prepectala området.}
\end{figure}

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=0.4\textwidth]{figure10.png}
\caption{Figure 10. Characters of \textit{L. duploclaviventris}: – a) side-face, – b) face, – c) ventral mesoscutum showing the prepectal area. Karaktärer på \textit{L. duploclaviventris}: – a) ansiktet från sidan, – b) ansikte, – c) undersida, mesoscutum med det prepectala området.}
\end{figure}
7. Marginal cell narrow, about 4-6 times the width of pterostigma (Fig. 11a), postpectal area rugose (Fig. 11b), lateral image showing size and habitus (Fig. 2e)...........*L. oblitus*

- Marginal cell wider, about 2.5-3 times the width of pterostigma (Fig. 12a), postpectal area not or slightly rugose (Fig. 12b), lateral image showing size and habitus (Fig. 2f)....... ...............................................*L. duploclaviventris*

8. Pterostigma pale (Fig. 13a), antennal segments slender subapically, longer than wide (Fig. 13b), legs and antennae pale yellow to yellow (Fig. 2g), gena not broad and distinctly convex, clypeus not distinctly truncate.............*L. pallidistigma*

- Pterostigma brown with basal corner pale (Fig. 14a), female antennal segments subquadrangular subapically, as wide as long (Fig. 14b), male antennae paler and longer than wide but gena broad and distinctly convex (Fig. 14c), clypeus distinctly truncate (Fig. 14d), a few specimens have punctures indicating notauli, legs and antennae yellowish-brown (Fig. 2h).......................*L. fulvipes*
**Genus Leiophron**

Antennal segments 14-22; maxillary palpi with five segments; labial palpi with 2-3 segments; occipital carina usually interrupted dorsally; mesonotum and scutellum usually smooth; notauli usually, but not always, absent; propodeum without postero-median depression; marginal cell of fore wing small; vein RS ending far before wing apex; vein RS+M of fore wing present, but sometimes absent; vein 2M of fore wing present; vein M+CU of fore wing largely unsclerotized; vein 1M usually thickened; veins 2CUa and 3CU absent; tarsal claws simple; first metasomal tergite parallel or slightly widened apically, ventrally variable but mostly open, ovipositor hardly visible, slender and either curved downwards or straight.

**Diagnosis of Leiophron species**

* L. (Leiophron) apicalis Haliday, 1833  
(Figs. 2a, 4a, 4b)  
This species can only be confused with *L. fascipennis*. The easiest way to differentiate these two species is to look at the basal cell, whether it is setose (*L. apicalis*) or not (*L. fascipennis*). Generally a yellowish, long legged species with infuscate wings.  
Flight period: June-July.  
Host: Bred from fifth stage nymphs of the Miridae species: *Orthotylus adenocarpi* (Perris), *O. virescens* (Douglas & Scott), *O. concolor* (Kirschb.) and on *Platycranus bicolor* (Douglas & Scott) (Richards, 1967)  
Studied specimens: 5 (5 Sk) (ZMlu)

* L. (Euphorus) basalis (Curtis, 1833)  
(Figs. 2c, 9a, 9b, 9c)  
The second author has examined the lectotypes of *L. similis* (Curtis, 1833) and of *L. basalis* and considers them conspecific, thus we regard *L. similis* as a new synonym of *L. basalis*. *Leiophron basalis* has a pterostigma with a very large pale basal part, sometimes more than 1/3 of the pterostigma is pale. The face and clypeus is very convex and the clypeus is not truncate. Postpectal carina and notauli are well developed. Generally a brown small species (up to 2 mm) that might be confused with *L. fulvipes* that has traces of notauli. See key characters for separating them.  
Flight period: May-July.  
Studied specimens: 14 (11 Sö, 2 Sm, 1 Öl) (NHRS)

* L. (Euphoria) deficiens (Ruthe, 1856)  
(Figs. 2b, 6a, 6b)  
A bicoloured small (2 mm) species with yellow head and brown mesosoma. It is the only species with incomplete wing venation in the fore wing (Fig. 6a), which makes it unmistakable.  
Flight period: August-October.  
Studied specimens: 5 (1 GtI, 1 Vs, 1 Sdm, 2 Öl) (NHRS)

* L. (Euphoriella) duploclaviventris (Shenefelt, 1969)  
(Figs. 2f, 8a, 10a, b)  
A blackish larger (3 mm) species that most resembles *L. oblitus*. But the ‘large’ marginal cell in the fore wing easily separates it from *L. oblitus*.  
Flight period: May-July.  
Studied specimens: 14 (11 Sö, 2 Sm, 1 Öl) (NHRS)

* L. (Leiophron) fascipennis (Ruthe, 1856)  
(Figs. 2h, 3a, 5a, b)  
This species can only be confused with *L. apicalis*. The easiest way to differentiate these two species is to look at the basal cell, whether it is setose or not. Generally a yellowish, long legged....
species with infuscate wings. The colours of *L. fascipennis* is stronger/more intense than the colours of *L. apicalis*.

**Flight period:** May-July

**Host:** No data.

**Studied specimens:** 22 (1 Sk, 1 Bl, 1 Bo, 19 Öl) (ZMLU and NHRS)

*L. (Euphorus) fulvipes* (Curtis, 1833)

(Figs. 2h, 8b, 14a, b, c, d)  
A smaller (2 mm) brownish species that can occur with great variation. Most specimens do not have notauli but some (2 out of 60) have slight punctures indicating notauli and then some have what seem to be real notauli. Specimens with notauli are easily confused with *L. basalis*, but *L. fulvipes* does not have a postpectal carina and the area surrounding is rather rugose-free. The specimens without notauli can be confused with *L. pallidistigma* since some specimens can have pale appendages as *L. pallidistigma*. The shape of antennae is the best character to separate these two species. Though male *L. fulvipes* can have longer antennal segments similar to the antennae of *L. pallidistigma* since some specimens can have pale appendages as *L. pallidistigma*. The shape of antennae is the best character to separate *L. pallidistigma* and *L. fulvipes* has a very convex face with bulging temples and thus should be possible to separate from *L. pallidistigma*. Also, *L. fulvipes* has a much darker pterostigma than *L. pallidistigma*.

**Flight period:** May-September.


**Studied specimens:** 62 (39 Sk, 9 Sm, 3 Upl, 6 Sdm, 1 Gt, 2 Dlr, 2 Ha) (ZMLU and NHRS)

*L. (Euphorus) oblitus* (Ruthe, 1856)

(Figs. 2e, 3b, 11a, b)  
A blackish larger (2.5 mm) species that most resembles *L. duploclaviventris*. But *L. oblitus* has a very narrow marginal cell that easily separates it from *L. duploclaviventris*.

**Flight period:** May-September.

**Host:** No data.

**Studied specimens:** 11 (4 Sk, 2 Bl, 1 Sm, 1 Sd, 1 Öl, 2 Up) (NHRS)

*L. (Euphorus) pallidistigma* (Curtis, 1833)

(Figs. 2g, 8b, 13a, b)  
A smaller (2 mm), very common species. This species can be confused with those *L. fulvipes* that do not have notauli. The shape of the antennae is the best character to separate *L. pallidistigma* and *L. fulvipes*. See diagnose of *L. fulvipes*. *L. pallidistigma* varies morphologically, some specimens have pale appendages whilst some are not pale but yellow, some have vein “r” in the fore wing and some do not. Molecular data indicate that there is a cryptic species involved but further study is needed for conclusive evidence.

**Flight period:** May-August (one specimen caught Oct.-Dec.).

**Host:** Psocoptera, Caeciliusidae, Peripsocidae, *Caecilius flavidus* (Stephens), *Peripsocus phaeopterus* (Stephens) (Tobias 1986)

**Studied specimens:** 161 (69 Sk, 30 Sm, 8 Sö, 14 Dlr, 4 Vs, 1 Ång, 6 Upl, 18 Öl, 1 Ha, 5 Vr, 2 Gt, 3 Vb) (ZMLU and NHRS)

*L. (Leiophron) reclinator* (Ruthe, 1856)

(Fig. 2i, 7a, 7b)  
A fairly large (3.5 mm), yellow coloured *Leiophron*, with a cubic-shaped head, protruding eyes, and long and slender antennae. Ovipositor short and straight. These diagnostic characters separates it from all other species. This species is also found new for Great Britain. 2 specimens of *L. reclinator* collected in UK were found in the collections in Munich (Zoologische Staatsammlung München): Cambridgeshire, Chippenham Fen, TL650693, 25.vi-9.vii, 1985 and 4.vi-20.vii, 1985 (J. Field).

**Flight period:** June-July.

**Host:** No data.

**Studied specimens:** 5 (2 Sk, 1 Sm) (ZMLU, NHRS and ZSM in Munich).

Discussion
Nine species of *Leiophron* are presented here but within the collections in ZMLU and NHRS there are at least five additional undescribed species. The problem is that there is only one specimen each of these species. To describe them on only one specimen seems unjustified. The amplitude of the intraspecific variation of morphological as well as molecular characters is unknown. For some of these species we have either the COI or 28S gene sequence, or both, but to us it does not feel right to base a species description on such characters alone. Even with unique sequences connected to unique specimens, a problem is that not everyone has access to a molecular lab and thus cannot identify these species. Large amounts of insect material at museums are still unsorted and unmounted. Therefore, there is a good chance that there are more undetected specimens of these species in museum collections. More funding and effort should be put into making such material more accessible.
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