# Preliminary notes on Hemerobius limbatellus of authors. 

By<br>BO TJEDER.

Having recently had an opportunity to revise J. W. Zetterstedt's and H. D. J. Wallengren's collections of Neuroptera and Mecoptera I am able to state that Zetterstedt's two specimens of his Hemerobius limbatellus are belonging to H. stigma Steph., the same species as $H$. strigosus Zett.

Wallengren's $H$. limbatellus is highly collective, comprising stigma Steph., simulans Walk., pini Steph., and a fourth species, the one described by Esben-Petersen as limbatellus. Wallengren's H. strigosus is the same as Zetterstedt's, thus = stigma Steph. His H. pini is also of a collective value, being composed by pini Steph. and stigma Steph.

With Zetterstedt's and Wallengren's names arranged as synonyms of stigma this species may be cited:

Hemerobius stigma Steph.
Hem. stigma Stephens, Ill. Brit. Ent. VI, 112, 22, 1836. strigosus Zetterstedt, Ins. Lapp. IO49, 1840.
limbatellus Zetterstedt, ibid 1050, 1840.
Wallengren (partim), K. V. A. Handl. 9, 8, 43,1871.
strigosus Wallengren, ibid. p. 44, 1871.
pini Wallengren (partim), ibid. p. 44, 1871.
stigma Mac Lachlan, Ent. Mo. Mag. I899, p. 150.

As mentioned above Dr. P. Esben-Petersen has applied the name »limbatellus Zett.» to another species, which is closely allied to H.pini Steph. I have had the pleasure to examine specimens from his collection and consider his species to be of distinct specifical value. A new name must, however, be applied to it and
herewith I bring forward the name fenestratus. The species may be cited:

## Hemerobius fenestratus nov. nom.

Hem. limbatellus Wallengren (partim, nec Zett.), K. V. A. Handl. 9, 8, 43, 1871.
Esben-Petersen (nec Zett.), Flor. \& Faun, Silkeborg, p. 8, fig. II c \& I3, I9I 5.
Esben-Petersen (nec Zett.), Danm. Fauna, 33, p. 3.0, fig. 9 c \& II c, 1929.

Preliminary description: Allied to pini Steph. and very similar to that species. General colour yellowish braun. Forewings with a distinct, often glassy and very iridescent middle area (between radius, the inner row of cross-veins, and the darkmottled $\mathrm{Cu}_{1}$ ). Male: Superior appendages broad, furcate, with the prongs widely divergent. The upper prong is of about the same shape and size as the lower prong. Both prongs end acute. (In H. pini the lower prong is longer than the upper prong and ends obtuse.) Inferior appendages placed at a very short distance from one another (in pini widely separated). Mediuncus indistinct (in pini large and distinct). - Female: Genitalia closely resembling those of pini. The following differences may, however, be noted. Lobes of loth tergit relatively broad, distally with smoothly rounded hindmargin and with the lower end obtuse (in pini not so broad, with a more prominent hindmargin, and a less obtuse lower end). Lateral gonapophyses with the hindmargin almost parallel to the foremargin and with a prominent, rounded, obliquely upwards directed upper hindangle (in pini with the hindmargin smoothly rounded).

Specimens examined: Sweden, I $\sigma^{\gamma}$ (unlabelled, in Zetterstedt's general coll., Mus. Lund), if (unlabelled, coll. Ljungh, Mus. Lund), Scania, Nosaby, I $\sigma^{\text {º }}$, leg. H. Rosén (Mus. Lund). Västergötland, I ㄱ, leg. Boheman (Nat. Hist. Mus. Stockholm). Denmark, 2 ㅇ (unlabelled, coll. Schlick, Mus. Lund), Fylland, Silkeborg Nordskov, I $0^{727} / 51905$, leg. Esben-Petersen (coll.Morton). Koldaker, I $\sigma^{21}{ }^{13} / 5$ I 1912 (coll. Esben-Petersen), Klakring, I 우 15/5 1925 (coll. Esben-Petersen), Lolland, Strandby, I $0^{24}{ }^{24} / 5$ I9I2 (coll. Esben-Petersen), Naesgaard, I $\ell^{25} / 7$ 1920, leg. Esben-Petersen (my coll.)- - Curonia: Legen, i ot ${ }^{15} / 6$ 1924, Sanken I ${ }^{15}{ }^{15} / 6$ 1929, leg. P. Lackschewitz (my coll.). - Germany, Saxony, Rachlau, I o', ¹/8 I9II (coll. G. Feurich).

It may be noted that Hemerobius limbatellus of Mac Lachlan (Ent. Mo. Mag. 1899, p. I5I) is not the same as fenestratus. By the kindness of Mr. Kenneth J. Morton I have got an opportunity
of examining a male specimen, originating from Mac Lachlan's collection, now in Mr. Morton's collection. It has proved to belong to another species of the pini-group, habitually very similar to fenestratus, but easily separated from it by the shape of the male genitalia. For this species I bring forward the name

Hemerobius contumax nov. nom.
Hem. limbatellus Mac Lachlan (nec Zett.), Ent. Mo. Mag. 1899, p. I 5 (spec. from France, Pyrenées).

Preliminary description: In the male the superior appendages are very broad, broader than in pini. The prongs are similar to those of pini, but the lower prong appears to be somewhat shorter and more stout than in that species. In this respect contumax appears intermediate between pini and fenestratus. The inferior appendages are in contumax much longer than in pini and fenestratus and are directed stricly downwards, in lateral view forming a right angle with the main part of the loth sternit. (In pini they are directed obliquely backwards-downwards, forming an obtuse angle with the roth sternit). As in pini these appendages are widely separated from one another. - In the female the lateral gonapophyses appear broadest in the upper part with downwards convergent hind- and foremargins (in pini broadest in the middle part).

Specimens examined: Sweden, Uppland, Bondkyrko, Vårdsätra, 1 우 ${ }^{12} / 6$ 1929, leg. E. Orstadius (Mus. Uppsala); Expermentalfältet, $10^{3}$ June 1919, leg. G. Hedgren (Mus. Uppsala); Runmarö, I $\sigma^{7}$, 9/7 1916, leg. F. Nordström (my coll.). - Curonia, Grobin, I $\sigma^{15}$ 15/5 I899, leg. P. Lackschewitz (my coll.). - France, Pyrenées, I $\delta^{24} / 6$, leg. A. E. Eaton (coll. Morton from coll. Mc Lachlan); Cantal, Le Lioran, Alagnon, I $0^{x} 9-19 / 71924$ (coll. Morton); Hte Sav., Chamonix, I ㅇ July 1925 (coll. Morton). - Switzerland, Wallis, Pierre, I $0^{3}$, led. M. Paul (my coll. from coll. Lackschewitz). - Italy, Istria, Selva di Tarnova $2 \sigma^{27} / 6$ 1932, leg. Attilio Fiori (my coll.).

If also the other specimens, recorded by Mc Lachlan as "limbatellus», belong to contumax is, of course, uncertain.

Several other authors have dealt with "limbatellus» or species now considered as synonyms of it, such as phaleratus Schneid., punctatus Göszy, and others. From their descriptions only, I find it quite impossible to identify them.

